1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

25

26

27

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that as soon as the matter may be heard, Counsel will hereby move this Court for an order granting undersigned counsels' withdraw as representation for Plaintiff.

**NOTICE OF MOTION & MOTION** 

This Motion is made pursuant to Local Rule 11-5(a) and California Rules of Professional Conduct 1.16(b)(4) and 1.16(d). This Notice of Motion and Motion is based on the below Memorandum in Support and the accompanying Declaration of Roopal P. Luhana, filed contemporaneously as Exhibit A. A Proposed Order is attached as Exhibit B.

### MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL

Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 11-5(a) and California Rules of Professional Conduct 1.16(b)(4) and 1.16(d), Chaffin Luhana LLP submits this Memorandum of Law in support of its Motion to Withdraw as Counsel for Plaintiff B.F. Chaffin Luhana LLP respectfully requests the Court grant the Motion.

#### **STATEMENT OF FACTS**

Plaintiff B.F. is currently represented by Chaffin Luhana LLP. Plaintiff's claims were filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California as Case No. 3:25-cv-04466 on May 27, 2025 as part of the multidistrict litigation *In re: Uber Technologies, Inc. Passenger Sexual Assault Litigation*, Case No. 3:23-md-03084.

Chaffin Luhana has been unable to reach Plaintiff since August 1, 2025. *See* Ex. A, Declaration of Roopal P. Luhana ("Luhana Decl.") at ¶ 2.

Chaffin Luhana has made numerous attempts to contact Plaintiff via phone, email and text message. Luhana Decl.  $\P\P$  4(a)-(e).

Chaffin Luhana has repeatedly explained why Plaintiff's participation was necessary to prosecute this case. *Id*.

Chaffin Luhana has informed Plaintiff that Chaffin Luhana cannot continue representation without her input. Id. at  $\P$  4(c).

On August 1, 2025, Chaffin Luhana formally advised Plaintiff it intended to withdraw as counsel if Plaintiff did not contact the firm. *Id.* at  $\P 4(c)$ .

On August 12, 2025, Chaffin Luhana informed Defendants of their intent to withdraw as counsel for Plaintiff. *Id.* at  $\P$  9.

Plaintiff has remained unwilling to make contact with the firm. To date, Chaffin Luhana does not believe Plaintiff has agreed to voluntarily dismiss her case, and has not informed the firm that she has retained any other counsel. Chaffin Luhana thus remains counsel of record for Plaintiff but remains unable to reach her.

### **ARGUMENT**

Chaffin Luhana should be permitted to withdraw as counsel for Plaintiff. An attorney may withdraw from a case by obtaining an order from the court after reasonable advance written notice has been provided to the client and to all other parties. Civ. L.R. 11-5(a).

Attorneys practicing before this Court are also required to adhere to the California Rules of Professional Conduct. Civ. L.R. 11-4(a)(1). Under those rules, a "lawyer shall not terminate a representation until the lawyer has taken reasonable steps to avoid reasonably foreseeable prejudice to the rights of the client." Cal. Rules. Prof. Conduct 1.16(d)(1).

As detailed above, Chaffin Luhana has continued in its attempts to work with Plaintiff in order to properly prosecute her case against Defendants. In multiple letters, calls, emails, and texts, Chaffin Luhana explained the consequences of failing to contact the firm or to meet discovery deadlines. Chaffin Luhana provided appropriate advance notice of its intent to withdraw to Plaintiff. On August 1, 2025, Chaffin Luhana mailed and emailed its final letter to Plaintiff informing her that if Chaffin Luhana did not hear from her by August 8, 2025, it would be forced to move to withdraw as Counsel. As detailed above, Plaintiff has not responded to the firm's contact attempts in order to properly prosecute her case. Chaffin Luhana has continued negotiating discovery extensions to protect Plaintiff's case from dismissal. Luhana Decl. ¶ 6. Chaffin Luhana has thus taken all reasonable steps to avoid foreseeable prejudice to the Plaintiff.

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
l	0
l	1
l	2
l	3
l	4
l	5
l	6
l	7
l	8
l	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6

Under California Rule of Professional Conduct 1.16(b)(4), a lawyer may withdraw from a case if "the client . . . renders it unreasonably difficult for the lawyer to carry out the representation effectively." Here, Plaintiff has failed to communicate with Chaffin Luhana and failed to provide information required to prosecute her case. Luhana Decl. ¶¶ 4(a)-(e). Chaffin Luhana has been unable to meet discovery deadlines in this case because, for example, the Plaintiff Fact Sheet requires Plaintiff's input and verification. See Luhana Decl. ¶ 4-6. Plaintiff's conduct thus falls into the express terms of Rule 1.16 regarding permissive withdrawal.

If this motion is granted and Chaffin Luhana is allowed to withdraw as counsel of record for the Plaintiff, the Plaintiff will have ample time to retain new counsel to prosecute this matter.

Because this motion is not accompanied by a substitution of counsel or an agreement by Plaintiff to proceed pro se, Chaffin Luhana agrees to the conditions imposed by Local Rule 11-5(b) to serve Plaintiff with all papers in this matter, unless or until Plaintiff appears pro se, other counsel appears on Plaintiff's behalf for this particular matter, this case is terminated or upon further order of the Court. See Luhana Decl. ¶ 10.

## **CONCLUSION**

Chaffin Luhana respectfully requests that the Court enter an order terminating its representation of Plaintiff B.F. and allow Plaintiff 30 days to retain new counsel.

Dates: August 13, 2025 Respectfully submitted,

**CHAFFIN LUHANA LLP** Roopal P. Luhana (Pro Hac Vice)

Chaffin Luhana LLP

New York, NY 10016 Telephone: 888.480.1123

600 Third Ave., 12th Fl.

By: /s/ Roopal P. Luhana

Facsimile: 888.499.1123

Email: luhana@chaffinluhana.com

27

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on August 13, 2025, I electronically filed the foregoing using the CM/ECF system which will serve notification of such filing to the email of all counsel of record in this action. I also certify that a copy of this document was sent by mail to Plaintiff B.F.

Furthermore, on August 13, 2025, I electronically filed the same document on Plaintiff B.F.'s individual docket, Case No. 3:25-cv-04466. The CM/ECF system served notice of such filing to the email of all counsel of record in this action. My law firm sent a copy of that filing by mail to Plaintiff B.F.

Dates: August 13, 2025

By: /s/ Roopal P. Luhana
Roopal P. Luhana

 14

 15

 16

 17

 18

 19

 20

 21

 22